



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

war is over has not before now been considered a proper basis for judicial action. Another ground suggested in the judgments is that the corporate entity has itself taken hostile character from the fact that it is probably controlled largely from abroad. It is a commonplace that enemy character depends primarily on residence. *Albrecht v. Sussmann*, 2 Ves. & B. 323. And there are serious theoretic difficulties in finding a corporation resident anywhere except in the state of its incorporation. See BEALE, FOREIGN CORPORATIONS, § 73 *seq.* So it had been provided: "In the case of incorporated bodies, enemy character attaches only to those incorporated in an enemy country." PROCLAMATION ON TRADING WITH THE ENEMY, Sept. 9, 1914, par. 3. TRADING WITH THE ENEMY ACT, 1914, par. 1, (2). There is, however, respectable authority to the effect that a corporation takes character from the place of its chief administrative office, wherever it may be incorporated. *Martine v. International Life Ins. Ass'n*, 53 N. Y. 339. See E. Hilton Young, "The Nationality of a Juristic Person," 22 HARV. L. REV. 1, 18. Of course if it has given actual assistance to the enemy, there is good ground for refusing it relief. *Netherlands South African Ry. Co. v. Fisher*, 18 T. L. R. 116. In the case before the court, however, there was no suggestion that the corporation had actually helped the enemy, nor that its head office was anywhere except at London. With enemy shareholders and directors suspended from their powers *pendente bello*, the possibility of control from Germany would be a very slender basis for decision.

EASEMENTS — NATURE AND CLASSES OF EASEMENTS — EASEMENT OF NECESSITY — RIGHT OF ACCESS TO GAS AND OIL. — The plaintiff was lessee of land, under an "agricultural lease" in which no specific reservations or exceptions were made. Later the owner of the land leased the gas and oil under the tract to the defendants. The defendants occupied enough of the surface of the land for the machinery necessary for drilling. The plaintiff seeks an injunction against the occupation of this land. *Held*, that the injunction will not issue. *Kemmerer v. Midland Oil & Drilling Co.*, 229 Fed. 872 (C. C. A., 8th Circ.).

When a lease of land is made without reservation or exception, the lessor parts with his entire right of possession during the term. *Cobb v. Lavalle*, 89 Ill. 331. Consequently he can give no right to a subsequent lessee. But the court in the principal case construes the words "agricultural lease" to imply that only the surface was granted, with the rest of the land excepted. It is elementary that a landowner may by grant divide his land horizontally as well as vertically. *Caldwell v. Fulton*, 31 Pa. St. 475; *Manning v. Frazier*, 96 Ill. 279. On conveying away part of his land, an owner is entitled to a way of necessity over that part if the rest of his holdings cannot be otherwise reached. *Brigham v. Smith*, 4 Gray (Mass.) 297; *Telford v. Jennings Producing Co.*, 203 Fed. 456. Accordingly it has been held that a grantor who retains the oil under his land has a right of access to his holdings. See 7 HARV. L. REV. 47. This right would of course pass to a subsequent grantee or lessee of the land retained. It would seem therefore in the principal case that the defendant should be entitled to occupy the land necessary for the enjoyment of his lease.

HABEAS CORPUS — UNCONSTITUTIONAL PROCEDURE IN TERRITORIAL COURT AS GROUNDS FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT BY FEDERAL COURT. — In a criminal trial in the Circuit Court of Hawaii the court allowed the prosecution to read to the jury the testimony of a witness given at a former trial, thus violating the prisoner's constitutional right to be confronted with the witnesses against him. The prisoner seeks a writ of *habeas corpus* in the United States District Court. *Held*, that the writ will not issue. *In re James P. Curran*, U. S. Dist. Ct. of Hawaii, April, 1916 (not yet reported).

The "Federal Habeas Corpus Act" provides that the writ shall not issue in